Rambler, Alexis

From:	Boyd, Jocelyn
Sent:	Thursday, August 12, 2021 3:01 PM
To:	Rambler, Alexis
Subject:	FW: [External] Administrative question related to Duke report

Docket Nos. 2021-89-E and 2021-90-E.

From: Hall, Matthew <Matthew.Hall@admin.sc.gov>
Sent: Thursday, August 12, 2021 2:37 PM
To: Boyd, Jocelyn <Jocelyn.Boyd@psc.sc.gov>
Subject: FW: [External] Administrative question related to Duke report

Jocelyn,

See issue being raised below.

Thanks,

Matthew C. Hall
Procurement Director, Office of Administrative Services

The South Carolina
Department of Administration
1200 Senate Street, Suite 408, Columbia, SC 29201
803-737-2805
Lead.Collaborate.Innovate.

Search openings at ☽careers.sc.gov

From: AJ Goulding <AJG@londoneconomics.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 12, 2021 2:05 PM
To: Hall, Matthew <Matthew.Hall@admin.sc.gov>
Cc: Stella Mueller <stellam@londoneconomics.com>
Subject: [External] Administrative question related to Duke report

Mr. Hall – we had a quick administrative question regarding the Duke report. We note that the report itself is due less than 20 days after we were engaged, which means that any discovery requests we make would not be received until after the report is due.

While we recognize that discovery requests are part of our scope, we also believe that, particularly given the settlement, it may be possible for us to simply state in the report that the time between the date of our engagement and the filing date of the report did not allow for discovery requests. We can add that we have made what we believe to be reasonable assumptions in performing our work, and [if indeed this is what we believe when we have completed the

1

analysis for the report] that we do not believe that our opinion would change had we been granted more time to make discovery requests.

Please confirm that this is acceptable. We are mindful of ex parte rules and believe that this is purely an administrative matter.

Thank you.

AJG